

# TANDRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL

## PLANNING COMMITTEE

Minutes and report to Council of the meeting of the Committee held in the Council Chamber, Council Offices, Station Road East, Oxted on the 7<sup>th</sup> July 2022 at 7.30pm.

**PRESENT:** Councillors Blackwell (Chair), C.White (Vice-Chair), B.Black, Chotai, C.Farr, Gray, Lockwood, Moore, Prew and Steeds

**ALSO PRESENT:** Councillors Cooper and Evans

**ALSO PRESENT (Virtually):** Councillors Botten and S.Farr

**APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE:** Councillor Mansfield

### 80. MINUTES FROM THE MEETING HELD ON 9 JUNE 2022

Subject to a minor amendment to the Councillor attendance details, the minutes of the meeting were confirmed and signed by the Chair.

### 81. 2022/98 - HUT 1, HARESTONE DRIVE, CATERHAM, CR3 6YQ

The Committee considered an application for the erection of two detached dwelling houses with attached garages, associated parking and landscaping which would be accessed from a newly formed cul-de-sac extended from the north-west which had been permitted from Planning Appeal Reference APP/M3645/W/20/3256724.

The Officer recommendation was to permit, subject to conditions.

Ms Imogen Wilde, an objector, spoke against the application.

Councillor Jeremy Webster of Caterham Valley Parish Council spoke against the application.

Following a lengthy debate, Councillor Farr proposed that the application be deferred for a site visit. Councillor Gray seconded the motion. Upon being put to the vote the motion was carried.

**RESOLVED** – that the application be deferred for a site visit.

### 82. 2022/295 - HARESTONE DRIVE, CATERHAM, CR3 6YQ

This item was considered during the debate for application 2022/98 (minute number 81) due to its location and similar details, save for an additional bay window (incorporating French Doors) on the ground floor side elevation.

The Officer recommendation was to permit, subject to condition.

Councillor Farr proposed that the application be deferred for a site visit. Councillor Chotai seconded the motion. Upon being put to the vote the motion was carried.

**RESOLVED** – that the application be deferred for a site visit.

### 83. 2022/429 - 101 WHYTELEAFE ROAD, CATERHAM, SURREY, CR3 5EJ

The Committee considered an application for the demolition of an existing rear single storey rear element and outbuilding and the erection of a single storey side and rear extension and the erection of a two storey rear extension. The application included the erection of a mansard dormer roof extension to the rear roof slope with two dormer windows and an extension to the existing basement.

The Officer recommendation was to permit subject to conditions.

Ms Susie Horan, an objector, spoke against the application.

An addendum had been published in advance of the meeting which revised condition 5 in the officer's report as follows:

*Condition 5. - Prior to the first occupation of the extensions hereby approved the windows to the first and second floor north elevation (including the dormer windows) shall be fitted with obscure glass and shall be non opening unless the parts of the windows which can be opened are more than 1.7m above the floor of the room in which the windows are installed and shall be permanently maintained as such.*

**Reason:** *To protect the amenities and privacy of occupiers of adjoining properties in accordance with Policy CSP18 of the Tandridge District Core Strategy 2008 and Policy DP7 of the Tandridge Local Plan: Part 2 – Detailed Policies 2014.*

During the debate, the Committee requested the addition of a further condition relating to the drainage on the site. The following condition was suggested by Officers:

*Prior to commencement of development a detailed drainage scheme shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the District Planning Authority. The approved scheme shall be implemented prior to the completion of the development and retained in its agreed form thereafter.*

Councillor Lockwood proposed that the wording of the additional condition be added to the officer recommendation. Councillor Steeds seconded the motion.

The Committee also requested that a condition be added in respect of the restriction of further development on the site. The following condition was suggested by Officers:

*Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or any order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification) no form of enlargement of the dwelling shall be carried without the express permission of the District Planning Authority.*

Councillor Gray proposed the wording of the additional condition be added to the officer recommendation. Councillor Chotai seconded the motion.

**RESOLVED** – that planning permission be granted, subject to conditions.

Rising 8.53 pm